```
F774ElsC
1
     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
     SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
      -----x
 2
3
     ELSEVIER INC.,
                    Plaintiff,
 4
5
                                            15 CV 4282
                V.
6
     SCI-HUB, et al.,
 7
                    Defendants.
8
9
                                             New York, N.Y.
                                             July 7, 2015
10
                                              4:30 p.m.
     Before:
11
12
                           HON. RONNIE ABRAMS,
13
                                             District Judge
14
                               APPEARANCES
15
     DEVORE & DEMARCO LLP
          Attorneys for Plaintiff
     BY: JOSEPH V. DeMARCO
16
          DAVID MILTON HIRSCHBERG
17
          KATE TSYUKIN
18
     ALEXANDRA ELBAKYAN
19
          Defendant pro se
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

(Telephone conference in chambers) 1 2 THE COURT: Hi. This is Judge Abrams. 3 MR. DeMARCO: How are you, Judge Abrams? 4 THE COURT: Good. Thank you. 5 MR. DeMARCO: I have you on you speaker phone, if 6 that's okay. I'm here with two of my colleagues, David 7 Hirschberg and Kate Tsyukin, who are attorneys at the firm. THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. DeMarco. 8 9 I have a court reporter here. I will note for the 10 record that we worked together at the U.S. Attorney's Office, 11 so that will be on the transcript here. 12 Look, as you know, I will be handling the July 21st 13 preliminary injunction in this matter. I'm on Part 1, and I 14 believe you have been made aware that Judge Sweet will be out 15 of town. 16 MR. DeMARCO: Yes, your Honor. 17 THE COURT: I wanted to touch base beforehand and discuss logistics and the procedure. Obviously, that will 18 19 depend, in part, on if defendants appear. 20 Other than the newspaper article that you sent in, 21 have you heard at all from the defendants or have any reason to 22 believe that they intend to appear in this action? 23 MR. DeMARCO: We have not heard from them. We have no 24 reason to believe that they will appear.

THE COURT: All right. I will just note for the

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

record that it looks as though plaintiffs have successfully served all defendants with the preliminary injunction materials at this point, and pursuant to Local Rule 6.1, defendants had 14 days in which to file any opposition papers, which has expired.

Let me ask you this: Have you heard anything from amici following your letter in which you indicate that you no longer intend to seek the relief requested in paragraphs 2 and 6 of the original order?

MR. DeMARCO: I have not, your Honor.

THE COURT: So I will tell you generally how I proceed, although again if defendants don't appear on Tuesday, there will likely be less to do in person at the hearing. Pursuant to my individual rules -- and to the extent you haven't looked at them, I encourage you to do so -- unless parties make a formal request otherwise, all direct testimony comes in by way of affidavit. But if you wanted a witness to be heard live on direct, you would make an application to me in advance. Either way, that person has to be available for cross-examination in the event that defendants intend to cross-examine the witnesses. Again, since defendants have not yet appeared, I don't think that will be necessary, but I just want to let you know in the event they do appear on Tuesday.

MR. DeMARCO: That makes perfect sense, your Honor. Our inclination, to be quite frank, was that if the defendants

did not appear, we were going to rest on our papers unless your Honor wanted to hear from witnesses. We put in some fairly detailed papers. We may have one or two additional declarations to put in. Unless your Honor was looking for live testimony, we were comfortable resting on our papers. That's our current thinking.

THE COURT: I'm comfortable with that, as well. I will let you know if I have any particular issues that I want to hear live testimony on. Right now, I don't anticipate that if defendants don't appear. So I'm fine with that. I will give you the opportunity to be heard and make arguments if you would like to do that, but I will, obviously, have read all of the papers and be familiar with everything that you have submitted.

As to the issue raised in the brief submitted by the amici, are you now seeking the same order but just with paragraphs 1, 3, 4, and 5?

MR. DeMARCO: I have it in front of me now, your Honor, and we are comfortable excising 2 and 6. That would leave 1, 3, 4, and 5.

THE COURT: Right. Okay.

MR. DeMARCO: And 7.

THE COURT: Yes. You're correct. Okay. Right. I think that is it. I really just wanted to touch base. This is, obviously, more important to do if we have parties

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

appearing on both sides so that we can talk about logistics, we can talk about any discovery that needs to occur prior to the hearing and talk about my procedures, but it seems like it is just going to be us, but I will see you on Tuesday.

MR. DeMARCO: That makes perfect sense. Thank you very much, your Honor.

Of course, if we hear or get any indication that the defendant might appear, we will, of course, let the Court know. If I could just ask the Court and its staff, if they hear the same, we may not here, if you could let us know. That way we're prepared in the event that we do need to call witnesses.

THE COURT: Yes. If I hear anything, I will put something on the docket to that effect.

As I said at the start, I have a transcript of today's telephone conference, so you should reach out to the court reporter if you're in need of the transcript. Otherwise, we will see you on Tuesday.

MR. DeMARCO: Thank you very much.

I did want to clarify one small point, your Honor. I can ask one question for quidance as between you and Judge Sweet. We have every reason to believe, based upon Ms. Elbakyan's comments and otherwise that she, in fact, has received full notice of everything that has transpired to date. I did want to point out to the Court that with respect to the Sci-Hub site defendants, we did get some bounce-backs on the

email addresses for them. I don't believe that is a material problem insofar as Ms. Elbakyan has taken responsibility for that site. I also wanted to point out that the postal service is having trouble delivering the hard copy to the address in Kazakhstan. Again, in light of what I think is abundantly clear, that she has received notice, I don't think that is a material problem, as well, but I just did want to point that out to you.

THE COURT: Thank you for making that record clear.

All right. I think that's it. I will see you on

Tuesday.

MR. DeMARCO: One quick guidance question. We were anticipating filing a motion for permission to serve discovery on third parties in advance of the Rule 26 conference. Is that a motion properly addressed to Judge Sweet's chambers or to your Honor? It's purely on the papers.

THE COURT: I think you should make that to Judge

Sweet. I'm sorry. I don't mean to make this difficult for

you. I will reach out to his chambers, though, so that they

know that they should feel free to send it to me, but I just

want to be very careful because I'm not yet on Part 1, and it

is really up to him and his chambers to decide what to send to

the Part 1 judge. As a result, in the first instance, it

should go to him, but it may well come back to me, and I'm

happy to deal with it at that point.

```
MR. DeMARCO: Understood.
1
 2
               THE COURT: Okay. Thanks so much.
 3
               (Recess)
 4
      (4:55 p.m.)
 5
               THE COURT: This is Judge Abrams.
 6
               Mr. DeMarco, are you and your colleagues on the line?
 7
               MS. ELBAKYAN: Hello.
               THE COURT: Ms. Elbakyan, is that you?
 8
9
               MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes.
10
               THE COURT: Mr. DeMarco, are you on, as well, with
11
      your colleagues?
12
               MR. DeMARCO: Yes, your Honor.
13
               THE COURT: Ms. Elbakyan, I'm a judge here in the
14
      Southern District of New York. I'm not the judge who is
      assigned to this case. That is Judge Sweet. But he scheduled
15
      a hearing for July 21st on this case. And to the extent that
16
17
      the hearing goes forward on that date, I will be handling the
18
     hearing.
19
               I'm not going to talk about anything substantive on
20
      the merits on this call. I just wanted to talk about the
     procedure and make sure that everyone does what needs to be
21
22
     done in advance of the July 21st hearing. Okay?
23
               MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay.
24
               THE COURT: So you have not yet appeared in this
25
              You have not had a lawyer file a notice of appearance
      action.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

on your behalf.

Do you intend to obtain an attorney to represent you in this case?

MS. ELBAKYAN: No.

THE COURT: You're going to represent yourself?

MS. ELBAKYAN: I don't.

THE COURT: You're going to represent yourself? Is that right?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes. I think so.

THE COURT: All right. You're going to have to figure it out because we have a hearing scheduled a week from Tuesday, and your deadline has already passed for you to submit any responsive papers.

Did you receive all of the papers, all of the legal briefs and the papers submitted by the plaintiff?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes. I received but can I submit a reply?

THE COURT: You can submit it to the Court. I will grant you a short extension to do that, to respond on the papers. I will give you until Monday to do that, so that will be July 13th. So, by Monday, you need to submit the papers here to the Court in response --

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes. Can I submit them by email? Can I submit it electronically?

THE COURT: We have a Pro Se Office here. "Pro se"

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

means people who represent themselves who don't have attorneys. We have a Pro Se Office here, and they can't advise you on the law, but they can help you with things like filing. So we have a court website. It is the website for the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. If you go on it, there is a section on the pro se unit, and you'll file all your documents with them, and they will file it with the Court. You can reach out to them. If you have questions, we will give you the phone number of the Pro Se Office. As I said, you can find information about the Pro Se Office on the court website.

What is your relationship --

MS. ELBAKYAN: So I --

THE COURT: Go ahead.

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes. I can send by phone, yes?

THE COURT: No. You can call the Pro Se Office, but we have a hearing scheduled for the 21st, and there are going to be witnesses at the hearing, and someone is going to have to come represent you and the hearing. If you want to represent yourself, you can do that, but you will have to come in person.

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes. If I cannot come in person?

THE COURT: You can hire an attorney to come represent you in person, but it is an evidentiary hearing. There will be witnesses that will be available to be cross-examined, and then if you wanted to be heard, if you wanted to submit an

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

affidavit, for example, saying certain factual statements, you would have to be here, as well.

Let me ask you this: What is your relationship to the corporate defendants, to www.sci-hub.org? Do you run that?

Hello?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Hello.

THE COURT: Hello? Can you hear me?

MS. ELBAKYAN: I hear you.

THE COURT: What about The Library Genesis Project or Libgen.org?

MS. ELBAKYAN: No, I don't run the website.

THE COURT: And what about Libgen.org?

MS. ELBAKYAN: That's the same.

THE COURT: That's the same. I know, it's just Libgen.org is the name under which the Library Genesis Project does business. Is that right?

MS. ELBAKYAN: No. There is no business. This is a totally noncommercial website. They provide all for free.

THE COURT: Who runs Libgen.org or The Library Genesis Project? Do you?

MS. ELBAKYAN: No. No.

THE COURT: I'm asking these questions because a corporation, a corporate entity, something other than a person, cannot represent itself in court in the United States in a federal court. It needs to be represented by an attorney. A

1 | | | | |

person can represent him or herself. As to these entities, we need some representation for them. Do you intend to try and get an attorney?

MS. ELBAKYAN: But I cannot. No money to hire an attorney. We don't have money to hire an attorney.

Hello?

THE COURT: Yes. Mr. DeMarco, let me ask you a question.

MR. DeMARCO: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you intend to seek discovery prior to this hearing?

MR. DeMARCO: So we're still determining that, your Honor; but at this stage, the only discovery we were going to be seeking would be against third parties. We will have to think that over in light of the fact that we have one party on the line. I think we need to think about that, your Honor. I have not given that any thought, to be perfectly honest with you.

THE COURT: What I would like you to do is think about that, and then if you can write a letter to Judge Sweet and to me and let me know if you intend to seek discovery. I'm trying to figure out if the hearing is going to be put off, if there is a need for that, particularly if Ms. Elbakyan wants to submit a response by Monday. I don't know if you will want to reply to that or if there will be a need to have discovery in

advance of the hearing, but I just want to try to set a schedule in place in light of these new developments. I understand this is new for you, this development, and you may need to think about next steps.

MR. DeMARCO: Thank you, your Honor.

In the event we are not going to be seeking discovery in advance of the hearing from the defendant on the line, would your Honor be inclined to have us go forward on the 21st?

THE COURT: I think so. I think we're going to need to think about the logistics. I don't know if Ms. Elbakyan is going to try to get an attorney.

Ms. Elbakyan, some attorneys are willing to represent people for free. They are called pro bono attorneys. I don't know if you'll be able to obtain a pro bono attorney to represent you in this action. I don't know if you intend to try to get an attorney. As I said, today I am not deciding the merits of the action; I'm just trying to set a schedule and deal with the logistics of how we're going to proceed.

MS. ELBAKYAN: I want to submit a reply to this. Can I submit by email?

THE COURT: What you need to do is call the Pro Se

Office here in the Court, and I will get you that phone number,
and you can call them, and they will help you with the

logistics of how to file your reply, your opposition, as we
call it. Okay?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay.

THE COURT: Give me one second. I'm getting the phone number for you.

The phone number of the U.S. Pro Se Office is 212-805-0175.

MS. ELBAKYAN: 21- --

THE COURT: 212-805-0175.

MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay. 212-805-0175.

THE COURT: Right.

And there's a website, this is The United States District Court for the Southern District of New York, and it is in the Pro Se Office of that court. So if you go to the court website and look for the Pro Se Office, it explains what its role is and how it accepts papers submitted by people who don't have lawyers, by pro se litigants.

> MR. DeMARCO: Your Honor, may I make a suggestion? THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. DeMarco.

MR. DeMARCO: Maybe it makes sense for us to schedule, while we have everyone on the line, another call a week from today, at 4:30. By that time, we should have any papers that the defendant has submitted, and the defendant will have had time to determine whether or not she is going to come to the hearing and represent herself at the hearing or get an attorney, and I think it might be beneficial for the efficiency of the following Tuesday if we just had another brief call like today.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: That's fine. What time did you suggest?

MR. DeMARCO: At the Court's discretion, of course.

THE COURT: No, no. It's fine. What did you suggest?

MR. DeMARCO: 4:00.

THE COURT: So why don't we have another conference call at 4:00 on the 13th.

MS. ELBAKYAN: 13th.

THE COURT: Yes.

Sorry. You said a week from today. So on the 14th. On the 14th, a week from today, on July 14th, at 4:00, we will have another conference call.

Again, the goal here is to just talk about how we're going to go about doing things, about logistics, and to see if there is a need to put off the hearing or if the hearing will take place on the 21st. Okay?

MR. DeMARCO: Your Honor, if we did put it off, would your Honor retain the case?

THE COURT: I don't know. I would have to talk to other judges, see when it would be scheduled for and the like because, as you know, it is Judge Sweet's case. I don't know the answer to that.

MR. DeMARCO: The papers are a little voluminous, and I feel bad making more than one judge read them.

THE COURT: I understand. It depends. If, for

example, we know sooner rather than later that it is going to be put off, that would be useful for planning for everyone involved, frankly. If is there a need for this to move, for example, to later in July or August or even September, when Judge Sweet is back, then I think that would change. So the more information you can provide me on that, the sooner the better.

MR. DeMARCO: Understood.

THE COURT: All right. So Ms. Elbakyan, you are going to submit what we call an opposition, a response to the papers submitted by the plaintiff, by Elsevier. You are going to call the Pro Se Office and talk about how you will get those papers filed. They're due on Monday, the 13th. But you can speak to plaintiff's counsel. Perhaps, for efficiency, you can email the opposition to plaintiffs.

Do you have a problem with that, Mr. DeMarco?

MR. DeMARCO: I just as soon get them through the pro se clerk or ECF, your Honor.

THE COURT: It sometimes takes longer, which is why I was suggesting that, but that is up to you.

What is your email, Ms. Elbakyan?

MS. ELBAKYAN: My email?

THE COURT: If there is a reason that the Court needs to get in touch with you, do you want to provide me with your email or your contact information?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes. Email can be found online, so you can Google it.

THE COURT: I assume it is one of emails at which you were served in this case. So it is mindwrapper@Gmail.com?

MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes. That's correct.

THE COURT: We want to be able to get in touch with you. If you don't defend in an action, if you don't respond on time and follow the orders of the Court, then you may be held in default. I just want to make sure that you are aware of everything going forward. You may want to look into trying to get an attorney to represent you in this action, because again someone needs to represent you at the time of the hearing.

So Mr. DeMarco, other than the call a week from today, on Tuesday, the 4th, do you want to write the Court in advance? You're free to do that. We can leave it to the Tuesday call, but if you have any other suggestions for how to proceed, if you want to talk to Ms. Elbakyan, for example, it may be that you're able the coordinate about next steps and submit a letter both to Judge Sweet and to me just proposing next steps in this case.

MR. DeMARCO: I think, at the minimum, your Honor, we will get back to you very quickly on the question of whether or not we're going to be seeking discovery, which I think will or won't have an effect on the scheduling in the event that we are or are not. We will know that very shortly. As soon as I know

that, with your Honor's permission, we will ECF-file a letter to the Court on that and, obviously, serve the defendants, as we have before. And if we have any other thoughts or suggestions — my understanding again from your Honor's rules is that with respect to even hearings where parties attend, direct is typically by affidavit with cross of the witnesses live.

THE COURT: That's correct, unless there is a particular application to have a witness testify live.

MR. DeMARCO: Obviously, I have to go back and talk to my client about today's developments, but it may very well be that we still are going to proceed purely on our papers for purposes of direct. We will know more once we see any opposition papers submitted.

THE COURT: So Ms. Elbakyan, you're going to submit an opposition. You're going to call in again a week from today at 4:00. I'm going to draft an order to this effect that plaintiffs will serve on you, and you will call the Pro Se Office if you have questions about the procedure of how to file things, and you will try and get an attorney who may be able to represent you, if you so choose.

MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay.

THE COURT: Remember that corporations cannot represent themselves. You can represent yourself, but they need to have a lawyer represent them. Okay? Do you understand

that? MS. ELBAKYAN: Yes. Can I represent myself in this case? THE COURT: You can represent yourself individually, but as to any corporate entity, it needs to be represented by an attorney. MS. ELBAKYAN: Okay. THE COURT: All right. Okay. I think that I will await the opposition, a letter from plaintiff, and we will talk again next Tuesday. MR. DeMARCO: Thank you, your Honor. THE COURT: Thank you. (Adjourned)